APPENDIX A

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

No. 06-50490

D.C. No. CR-06-00426-RGK-1

[Filed April 6, 2007]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff-Appellee,	)
v.	)
HELEN GOLAY, Defendant-Appellant.	)

JUDGMENT

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Los Angeles.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the appeal in this cause be, and hereby is **DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.**

Filed and entered 04/06/07

APPENDIX B

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

No. 06-50490

D.C. No. CR-06-00426-RGK-1
Central District of California, Los Angeles

[Filed April 6, 2007]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff-Appellee,	)
	)
v.	)
	)
HELEN GOLAY,	)
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

ORDER

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, GRABER and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Appellee's opposed motion to dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction is granted. *Cf. Parr v. United States*, 351 U.S. 513 (1956); *United States v. Mehrmanesh*, 652 F.2d 766, 768-70 (9th Cir. 1980).

DISMISSED.

APPENDIX C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. CR 06-426-RGK

[Filed August 16, 2006]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
HELEN GOLAY AND OLGA RUTTERSCHMIDT, Defendants.	)

ORDER DISMISSING INDICTMENT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

The Court has considered the government's ex parte Application to Dismiss Indictment Without Prejudice and its supporting documents. The Court finds that, for the reasons stated in the government's Application and supporting documents, the government's request for dismissal is made in good faith and that dismissal is in the interests of the public. The Court therefore hereby GRANTS the government's ex parte application and gives the government leave to dismiss the indictment in this matter without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: AUG 16 2006

/s/
HON. R. GARY KLAUSNER
US DISTRICT JUDGE